

TITLE

Secret-key-controlled reversible circuit and corresponding method of data processing

DESCRIPTION

5 Field of the invention

The present invention refers to a data processing method and system, and in particular to a secret-key-controlled reversible circuit used for encrypting and decrypting data.

10 Reversible transformations controlled by a secret key parameter are mathematical entities used for encrypting and decrypting sensitive data in order to provide data confidentiality. The transformations should be such that it is computationally infeasible to recover the original input
15 data from the transformed output data without knowing the secret key used and, in particular, it should be infeasible to reconstruct the secret key from a number of known input/output pairs. In addition, they should be relatively easy to implement in software and/or hardware.

20 Background art

Block and stream ciphers are two general types of such transformations. Block ciphers are block transformations which operate on digital data arranged in blocks of consecutive symbols, whereas stream ciphers are sequential
25 transformations which operate on digital data sequences, typically processing one symbol at a time. Examples of block ciphers are illustrated as AES and DES in J. Daemen and V. Rijmen, The design of Rijndael: AES - The Advanced Encryption Standard. Berlin: Springer_Verlag, 2002, and in
30 National Bureau of Standards, "Data Encryption Standard", Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 46, Jan. 1977, respectively.

Cryptographic functions dealing with secret keys such as, for example, block or stream ciphers or message
35 authentication codes can be implemented in software on a

microelectronic data-processing device such as, for example, an integrated circuit chip card, which contains a central processing unit (CPU), such as a microprocessor, one or more volatile memories, such as a random-access memory (RAM), and one or more non-volatile memories, such as an electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), a flash memory, and a read-only memory (ROM). During the execution of the cryptographic function, sensitive data depending on the secret key is being sent over the data bus(es) connecting the CPU and the memories and is being stored in the RAMs in the system. In this embodiment, the sensitive information is the secret key itself and all intermediate data depending on the secret key, except for the output data. Even for tamper-resistant chips, where the underlying integrated circuit is protected by special physical measures, such as protective layers and various sensors and detectors, this sensitive information may leak out through various side channels, such as, for example, timing measurement, power analysis measurement, electromagnetic radiation, and microprobing.

Document US 5,850,452 illustrates a method for the numerical scrambling by permutation of data bits in a programmable circuit comprising a control unit and a data bus to transmit data between the control unit and several memory circuits.

While, for a cryptographic function, it should be computationally infeasible to reconstruct the secret key from known input/output data, this need not be the case if intermediate data generated during the execution is revealed. Therefore, there is a need to protect the sensitive data on the data bus and in the memories by using dedicated encryption/decryption techniques sometimes referred to as data scrambling. This is especially useful against the probing attacks. Probing attacks are invasive side-channel techniques consisting in introducing conductor microprobes into certain points of a tamper-resistant chip

to monitor and analyse the electrical signals at these points, in order to recover sensitive information about the secret key. In this regard, potentially most vulnerable points are those corresponding to internal links or
5 memories that are likely to convey or contain secret information and whose hardware implementation has a regular, recognizable structure, such as the data buses and the RAMs in the data-processing device.

Document US 5,943,421 contains a description of a
10 data-processing device where the data stored in memories (including RAM) are encrypted and compressed. The device uses a hardware unit for encryption/compression and decryption/decompression which is transparent to the other components.

15 The encryption/decryption of data solely on the data or instruction buses can be achieved by using a fast stream cipher combining the data sequence with the keystream sequence being the output sequence of a fast centralized random or pseudorandom number generator possibly by the
20 bitwise XOR operation, as illustrated for example in US 2003/0005313 and in US 2003/0005314.

Recall that the XOR of two bits is equal to 0 if the two bits are equal and to 1 otherwise. More precisely, at each time the block of data is bitwise XORed with the
25 keystream block. Note that the pseudorandom number generator is a sequential rather than combinatorial circuit. However, this solution is not satisfactory for encrypting or decrypting the data to be stored in or read out of the memories, respectively, because the same
30 keystream block has to be used for decrypting and encrypting the data for a particular location in a given memory. The reversible transformations can also depend on the address of the memory location, whereas the address can be encrypted too, so that the data is effectively stored in
35 a memory location whose address is an encrypted version of the original logical address.

For the encryption/decryption of data in memories, it has been proposed to use hardware implementations of block ciphers, which requires a large number of gates and induces a long delay. Document US 2002/0166058 A1 contains a description of a data-processing device, to be implemented on an integrated circuit chip card, where both the address and the data to be stored in memory such as RAM are encrypted/decrypted by a DES-like block cipher, with 16 rounds, implemented in programmable hardware.

Some simplifications of classical block ciphers, e.g. with a reduced number of rounds and a reduced block size, have been also proposed. In principle, the simplifications can also be used for encrypting/decrypting the data and address buses. However, these simplifications are not capable of incorporating a sufficiently large number of secret key bits to resist some well-known structural attacks such as the meet-in-the-middle attacks, especially if the block sizes are relatively small. Note that in the classical block ciphers, the secret key bits are typically bitwise XORed with the output bits of individual rounds. To increase the number of secret key bits, it is also proposed to use secret-key-controlled bit permutations, but they do not offer a satisfactory security level and the number of secret key bits remains small if the required block size is small.

Some logical circuits for implementing secret-key-controlled bit permutations, to be used for data scrambling against probing attacks on integrated circuit chip cards, are proposed in E. Brier, H. Handschuh, and C. Tymen, "Fast primitives for internal data scrambling in tamper resistant hardware," Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems - CHES 2001, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2162, pp. 16-27, 2001.

In conclusion, the current solutions for the encryption/decryption of data in memories are not

satisfactory, especially if the block sizes are small such as, for example, 16 bits or less.

Accordingly, there is a need for new designs of secret-key-dependent reversible logical circuits suitable
5 for small-size, in terms of the number of gates, and high-speed, in terms of the induced delay, hardware implementations. They should be able to incorporate a relatively large number of secret key bits and to operate on small and possibly variable block sizes.

10 **Summary of the invention**

In view of the above, it is an object of the invention to provide a new method and a device for designing secret-key-controlled reversible logical circuits that are suitable for the encryption/decryption of data on buses and
15 in memories of data-processing devices.

According to the present invention, that object is achieved by means of a combinatorial network having the features set forth in the claims that follows. The invention also relates to a corresponding method of
20 encryption/decryption of digital data.

The proposed solution has iterative and granular structure, that is, consist of a number of layers, where each layer comprises a number of elementary building blocks operating on very small block sizes.

25 A generic building block acts on a small number of input data bits, which are divided into two groups of m and n bits, respectively. The m input bits, which are passed to the output intact, are used to select k out of $2^m k$ key bits by a multiplexer circuit; The k bits are then used to
30 select an $(n \times n)$ -bit reversible transformation R_k acting on the remaining n input bits to produce the corresponding n output bits. The total number of the key bits in the building block is thus $2^m k$, which can easily be made larger than $m+n$. An inverse building block is the same except that

the reversible transformations R_k are replaced by their inverses R_k^{-1} .

Each block is thus capable of incorporating a large number of secret key bits, has a small number of gates, and a short delay. Building blocks are arranged in layers and the layers can be connected by fixed bit permutations.

Brief description of the drawings

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a generic building block of a secret-key-controlled logical circuit realised according to the invention;

Figure 2 is a block diagram of an array structure of a secret-key-controlled logical circuit realised according to the invention;

Figure 3 is a block diagram of a first embodiment of a block connecting adjacent layers;

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a second embodiment of a block connecting adjacent layers;

Figure 5 is a block diagram of a particular embodiment of a building block, realised according to the invention; and

Figure 6 is a block diagram of a simplified embodiment of a building block, realised according to the invention.

Detailed description of the preferred embodiments

A secret-key-controlled reversible logical circuit according to the invention is a combinatorial network comprising a number of layers each including a number of elementary building blocks, each block implementing a key-dependent reversible transformation.

A generic building block 2 is shown in Figure 1. It acts on a small number of input data bits, divided into two groups of m control and n transformed bits, respectively, for example, $m+n \leq 16$. The m control bits 14, which are taken intact to the output 19, are used to select k out of $2^m k$ secret key bits by a multiplexer circuit 4 having m

control bits 12, 2^m k-bit inputs 8, and one k-bit output 10. The multiplexer circuit 4 may be implemented as a $m \times k$ lookup table, i.e., k (binary) $m \times 1$ lookup tables whose content is defined by the secret key.

5 The selected k bits, i.e. output 10 of the multiplexer 4, are used to choose an $(n \times n)$ -bit reversible transformation R_k (block 6 in Figure 1) acting on the remaining n input bits 16, hence called the transformed bits, to produce the corresponding n output bits 18. The
10 set of the reversible transformations R_k for a generic block can be arbitrary, and preferably it has to be easily implementable by a logical circuit with $n+k$ input bits and n output bits. Note that the total number of secret key bits in the building block is thus $2^m k$, which can easily be
15 made (much) larger than the underlying block size $m+n$.

 The inverse building block has the same circuit architecture, except that the reversible transformations R_k are replaced by their inverses R_k^{-1} .

 A combinatorial network 46 comprising a number of
20 layers 48 each including a number of elementary building blocks 2 is shown in Figure 2.

 The network 46 operates on N input bits 42, in each layer 48, N bits are divided into small blocks and each of them is transformed by an elementary building block 2. Each
25 layer 48 is thus a parallel combination of a number of building blocks. In a uniform design, all the building blocks are of the same type, nevertheless different implementations of building blocks may be used in a single combinatorial network.

30 The layers 48 are connected by fixed bit permutation blocks 40, which, in order to obtain greater security, preferably satisfy the following two diffusion properties. In an inverse combinatorial network, inverse bit
35 permutations have to be used. If $m=n$, then the used bit permutations can be made equal to their inverses.

The first property is that the control bits in each layer are used as transformed bits in the next layer. In each layer, the number of control bits cannot hence exceed the number of transformed bits, so that in a uniform design, $m \leq n$.

The second property is that, for each building block, both control bits and transformed bits are extracted from the maximal possible number of building blocks in the preceding layer. In a uniform design, this number equals $\min(m, N/(m+n))$ for the control bits and $\min(n, N/(m+n))$ for the transformed bits.

As an alternative, it can be acceptable that the requirements of the second property are fulfilled only partially, i.e. control bits and transformed bits are extracted from a great number (not the maximal possible number) of building blocks in the preceding layer.

A possible embodiment for a fixed bit permutation block 40 for $N=8$ and two blocks per layer with parameters $m=n=2$ is shown in Figure 3. The first and the second properties are verified in the embodiment shown in Figure 3.

In a uniform design, all the blocks 40 connecting adjacent layers 48 are of the same type, nevertheless different embodiments of block 40 can be implemented in a single combinatorial network 46.

For data scrambling, that is, for encryption/decryption of buses and memories in data-processing devices, a relatively small number of layers may suffice, e.g., 3 to 5.

For cryptographic security, a number of desirable additional criteria are also proposed.

First, the number of building blocks 2 per each layer 48 should be at least 2.

Second, the reversible transformations R_k should be such that each output bit of R_k is a nonlinear function of

n input data bits and k key bits with the algebraic normal form containing at least one binary product involving both input data and key bits. For example, this is satisfied by the reversible transformations shown in Figure 5, which will be explained in detail later.

More precisely, for the scheme of Figure 5, the algebraic normal form for the output bits y_1 and y_2 is:

$$y_1 = k_1 \oplus k_1 \cdot k_3 \oplus k_2 \cdot k_3 \oplus x_1 \oplus k_3 \cdot x_1 \oplus k_3 \cdot x_2$$

$$y_2 = k_2 \oplus k_2 \cdot k_3 \oplus k_1 \cdot k_3 \oplus x_2 \oplus k_3 \cdot x_2 \oplus k_3 \cdot x_1$$

where the key bits k_1 and k_2 are used for the XOR gates 26, 28 and the key bit k_3 is used for controlling the switch 30. Here ' \oplus ' denotes the XOR operation and denotes the binary product operation.

The transformed and control input bits at each layer are thus nonlinearly combined together.

The second criterion implies that $n \geq 2$, as the only reversible functions of one binary variable are the identity and the binary complement functions, so that the single key bit has to be XORed with the input bit to obtain the output bit. The second criterion is not satisfied if $k=n$ and the key bits are bitwise XORed with n input data bits, as in the usual Feistel structure used in DES.

Third, the reversible transformations R_k should satisfy a Shannon-type criterion that the uncertainty of n input bits provided by uniformly used random k key bits when the output n bits are known is maximal possible, that is, n bits. For this it is necessary that $k \geq n$. The third criterion can easily be satisfied by bitwise XORing a subset of n key bits with n input data bits, as implemented in Figure 5.

A simple class of logical circuits implementing the key-dependent reversible transformations R_k consist of XORs of two input bits and (controlled) switches only, where a switch has two input bits, two output bits, and one control bit that determines if the input bits are swapped or not.

Clearly, a switch can be implemented by using two multiplexers in parallel, whereas only one multiplexer suffices for implementing an XOR. Here and throughout the present description, unless specified differently, a
5 multiplexer has 2 input bits, 1 control bit, and 1 output bit. For each XOR, one of the two input bits is a key bit, whereas for each switch, the control bit is a key bit.

The key bits are incorporated into the circuit in such a way that there are no equivalent keys, i.e., that
10 different combinations of the key bits give rise to different reversible transformations. This is not a problem for checking since the parameters n and k are small. For each fixed key, such reversible transformations are affine, and the non-linearity is achieved by the key bits depending
15 on the control input data bits. For $n=3$ note that all 24 reversible transformations of 2 input bits are necessarily affine.

The Shannon-type criterion is not satisfied if the circuit contains the key-controlled switches only.

20 A basic concrete example of a building block 20 from the class described above, with parameters $(m,n,k)=(2,2,3)$, is shown in Figure 5.

Two input bits x_3 , x_4 are used for controlling a multiplexer 24 and are passed to the output y_3 , y_4 intact.
25 The input bits x_3 , x_4 select three out of twelve key bits by means of the multiplexer circuit 24, which has two control bits 36, four 3-bit inputs 32 and one 3-bit output 34.

The 3-bit output 34 is used for controlling a block
30 38, which implements reversible transformations R_k , transforming the input bits x_1 and x_2 into scrambled output bits y_1 and y_2 . Block 38 comprises two XOR gates 26 and 28, each having two input bits and one output bit, and one controlled switch 30 having 2 input bits, 2 output bits,
35 and 1 control bit which determines if the input bits are swapped or not.

The controlled switch 30 can be implemented by using two multiplexers in parallel, whereas only one multiplexer suffices for implementing each of the two XOR gates 26, 28.

The building block 20 shown in Figure 5 can be implemented by using a circuit of 13 multiplexers with depth 4, where the depth is defined as the number of gates on the longest path from the input to the output. The total number of secret key bits incorporated is 12.

The building block 20 can readily be used for defining concrete data scrambling functions of the uniform type. For example, for $N=16$ input bits, each layer contains 4 such blocks and hence has a total of 52 multiplexers and incorporates 48 key bits. Accordingly, five layers like this incorporate 240 key bits and can be implemented by a circuit with 210 multiplexers and depth 20. The resulting network incorporates a relatively large number of key bits and has a very small size and depth, which, for a relatively small N such as $N \leq 16$, is impossible to achieve by networks resulting from simplified classical block ciphers and key-controlled bit permutations. In addition their cryptographic security is considerably improved.

In order to further increase security, it is desirable that the secret key used for data scrambling is innovated for each new execution of the cryptographic function on the data-processing device. In this way, the secret key used for data scrambling is itself much less exposed to side-channel attacks such as the power analysis attacks. As such, it also provides a certain degree of resistance to power analysis attacks.

The secret key is preferably generated by a random number generator implemented on the same device. Alternatively, but less securely, it can be generated by a pseudorandom number generator from a secret seed and some additional information which does not have to be secret or random, but is being innovated every once in a while.

The proposed building blocks can also be used for designing high-speed and small-size block ciphers suitable for hardware implementations in general. For this purpose a larger block size, for example $N \geq 64$, is preferably used, and the number of layers, i.e. rounds, is increased. Since the size and delay of each layer is considerably smaller than in usual iterated constructions of block ciphers, the number of rounds can be several times larger. For example, for the building block from Figure 5 and $N=128$, the number of rounds can be about 32 or even larger.

Unlike the data scrambling functions, the encryption or decryption functions for block ciphers do not have to be performed in only one microprocessor cycle, so that they can be implemented by a combination of logical circuits and registers. For example, several layers combined can be implemented by a logical circuit. The pipelined architectures are extremely fast due to the small delay of each layer.

For cryptographic security, the layers should satisfy the three desirable additional criteria described above. Apart from that, two additional requirements regarding the connections between the layers are proposed.

First, two additional input and output secret keys of size N should be bitwise XORed with the input and the output bits, respectively, apart from the secret keys used in individual rounds which are called the round keys.

Second, in view of the statistical cryptanalytic methods such as the linear cryptanalysis of block ciphers, it is proposed to use very simple reversible linear functions between the layers, instead of using only the bit permutations. In particular, if the total numbers of transformed and control data bits per layer are equal, it is proposed to use the bit permutations designed as explained above and then to XOR every transformed data bit at the input to each layer with a distinct transformed data bit from the preceding layer. This usually does not

increase the delay of the layers. An embodiment of a block
40' used for connecting adjacent layers, implementing a
reversible linear function, is shown in Figure 4. The block
40' shown implements an 8-bit reversible linear
5 transformation, for $m=n=2$ and $N=8$.

In a combinatorial network realised according to the
invention, the number of key bits per round, that is, the
bit size of the round key is typically larger than the
block size. This is of great advantage for data scrambling
10 applications where the block size and the number of rounds
are both relatively small.

For example, the building block shown in Figure 5
requires three key bits per each input bit. As for block
cipher applications the number of rounds is increased, the
15 total number of key bits required is larger than in usual
block cipher designs. These key bits can be produced from a
smaller number of secret key bits, stored in a RAM, by a
key expansion algorithm, which can be based, as explained
hereinbelow, on a modified building block.

20 The key expansion algorithm produces the round keys
iteratively and can itself be implemented in hardware by a
combination of logical circuits and registers, so that not
all the round keys have to be stored in RAM.

A modified building block, implementing the key
25 expansion algorithm, operates as follows. Let K and K'
denote the bit sizes of the secret key and the round key,
respectively. The K secret key bits are first expanded by
linear transformations into K' key bits by using an
appropriate linear code so that any subset of K'' expanded
30 key bits are linearly independent, where K'' is not small
($K'' \leq K$). In the terminology of error-correcting codes,
the minimum distance of the dual of this linear code should
be at least $K''+1$.

The obtained expanded key is then used as an input to
35 a combinatorial network of block size K' which is
parameterised by a fixed randomly generated key satisfying

an additional condition that every multiplexer block in the network implements balanced binary lookup tables, that is, the binary lookup tables containing an equal number of 0's and 1's. The K' bits produced after every two layers of the combinatorial network are successively used as round keys, together with the K' input bits. As the number of layers is thus doubled when compared with the combinatorial network used for the block cipher itself, the building blocks used for the key expansion could be simplified.

A possible embodiment of a simplified building block is shown in Figure 6, with parameters $(m,n,k)=(1,2,1)$. Such a building block is obtained by removing the 2 XOR blocks and 1 control input from the building block shown in Figure 5.

One input bit x_3 is used for controlling a multiplexer and is passed to the output y_3 intact. The input bit x_3 selects one out of two key bits by means of the multiplexer circuit 54, which has one control bit 58, two 1-bit inputs 52 and one 1-bit output 60.

The 1-bit output 60 is used for controlling a block 56, implementing a simple reversible transformation R_k , transforming the input bits x_1 and x_2 into output bits y_1 and y_2 . Block 56 comprises one controlled switch having two input bits, two output bits, and one control bit that determines if the input bits are swapped or not.

Alternatively, the K' round key bits can be produced after each layer of the combinatorial network, if one allows portions of successive round keys to be bit permutations of each other. In this iterated algorithm each round is a reversible transformation, so that a desirable criterion that each round key is uniformly random if the input to the first round is uniformly random is satisfied.

The key expansion algorithm can be simplified by using only linear transformations in the following way. The K secret key bits are first expanded by linear transformations into $2K'$ key bits, as described above, by

using an appropriate linear code so that there are no small subsets of linearly dependent expanded key bit. The expanded $2K'$ bits are then used as the round keys for the first two rounds, whereas the subsequent pairs of
5 successive round keys are produced by applying fixed bit permutations to the expanded key bits.

One embodiment of the proposed design of block ciphers is the encryption/decryption of copyright digital data to be stored in memories, such as EEPROM or flash memories,
10 for example, for multimedia applications.